## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §

VS. 

\$ CRIMINAL NO. 2:13-CR-01133-1

9 CRIMINAL NO. 2:13-CR-01155-1

§

VANESSA GARZA §

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION ON PLEA OF GUILTY

United States District Judge Nelva Gonzales Ramos referred this case to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge for the purpose of conducting a guilty plea proceeding pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The undersigned submits these Findings and Recommendation to the District Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3). All parties have waived the right to plead before a United States District Judge, and additionally, have consented to proceed before the undersigned.

On February 13, 2014, the defendant appeared with counsel before the undersigned Magistrate Judge and was personally addressed in open court and admonished pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure as follows:

- 1. The defendant was placed under oath and advised that any false answers given during the plea proceeding could be used by the United States against the defendant in a prosecution for perjury or for making a false statement.
- 2. The defendant was advised that the indictment charged a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B) which make it unlawful for a person to knowingly and intentionally possess with the intent to distribute a controlled substance. The defendant was further advised that the indictment alleged this violation of law involved more than 500 grams of cocaine, that is, approximately 3.17 kilograms of cocaine, a Schedule II, controlled substance.

- 3. The defendant was advised of the defendant's right to a jury trial, the right to the presumption of innocence, and the right to require that the United States prove each of the elements of the offense to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant was further advised that the right to a jury trial included the right to see, hear and cross-examine witnesses, the right to compel witnesses to appear on the defendant's behalf, and the right to testify on the defendant's behalf or to remain silent. The defendant was advised that a plea of guilty would waive the right to a jury trial, the right to see, hear and cross examine witnesses, the right to compel witnesses to appear in the defendant's behalf, and the right to remain silent.
- 4. The defendant was instructed the elements of the offense are as follows: (1) That the defendant knowingly possessed a controlled substance; (2) That the substance was in fact cocaine; (3) That the defendant possessed the substance with the intent to distribute; and (4) That the quantity of the cocaine involved more than 500 grams.
- 5. The defendant was advised that the penalty range for this offense included a mandatory minimum 5 year period of imprisonment and a maximum of up to forty years imprisonment, without probation, parole or a suspended sentence, a fine not to exceed \$5 million, community restitution up to the amount of any fine, at least 4 years of supervised release that could last the rest of her life, and that any violation of supervised release could result in an additional prison term of up to 3 years.

The defendant also was advised that even if she received the maximum sentence for violation of supervised release, if she had not completed her full term of supervised release, she could be re-released to supervised release, and if revoked again she could receive up to the maximum sentence again. The defendant was advised that this could happen multiple times until she had completed serving her term of supervised release.

The defendant also was advised that a mandatory \$100 special assessment applied to this felony conviction.

- 6. The defendant was advised of the District Court's obligation to calculate the applicable advisory sentencing guideline range and to consider that advisory range, possible departures and variances under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). The defendant further stated that she understood and had discussed with her attorney how the guidelines might be calculated in her case and applied to her.
- 7. The defendant was advised that her written plea agreement with the United States included a promise that the United States would recommend maximum credit for

acceptance of responsibility, a sentence within the applicable guideline range as decided by the District Court and a recommendation that any remaining counts be dismissed at the time of sentencing. If the defendant provided substantial assistance, the United States may move for a downward departure for substantial assistance pursuant to U.S.S.G. §5K1. The defendant was further advised that the decision about whether to move for a downward departure was a decision solely in the discretion of the attorney for the United States, and the decision whether to grant such a motion rested with the sentencing judge. The defendant was further advised that the plea agreement included only a promise by the United States to make a certain recommendation to the sentencing court, not a promise by the sentencing court to impose a certain sentence.

- 8. The defendant was further advised that the plea agreement contained a waiver of her right to appeal and to file any petitions collaterally challenging her conviction. The defendant stated that she had discussed the appeal waiver with her attorney and she understood that she was knowingly waiving her right to appeal the conviction and sentencing decision of the District Court to a higher court unless the District Court *sua sponte* departed upward from the applicable guideline range or imposed a sentence that exceeded the maximum sentence, and that she was knowingly waiving her right to file a petition collaterally challenging her conviction. The defendant further stated that she was asking the District Court to accept the plea agreement with the waiver of her appellate rights.
- 9. The defendant was advised that conviction of this offense would cause her to lose certain rights she has as a citizen, such as the right to possess and own firearms, the right to vote, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to hold public office, and the defendant stated that she understood and had discussed these matters with her attorney.

The defendant stated that she had received a copy of the indictment, she understood the nature of the charge, the maximum possible penalty, and the consequences of pleading guilty. The defendant further stated that the plea of guilty was made freely and voluntarily, and did not result from any force, threats or coercion, and no one had promised the defendant a certain sentence. The defendant stated that she understood that if she did not receive the sentence hoped for, she could not withdraw her

plea of guilty. The undersigned finds the defendant to be sufficiently competent to enter

a plea of guilty.

The defendant pleaded guilty to the offense of possession with intent to distribute

3.17 kilograms of cocaine in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections

841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(B) as alleged in Count One of the Indictment. When questioned

about her involvement in the case, the defendant agreed with the Assistant U.S.

Attorney's recitation of the facts and admitted to each of the elements of the offense.

The undersigned United States Magistrate Judge finds that defendant's guilty plea

was freely and voluntarily tendered and did not result from force, threats, or promises and

that an adequate factual basis exists in relation to this plea.

RECOMMENDATION

It is respectfully recommended that the District Court adopt the foregoing

findings, accept the defendant's plea of guilty, and enter a finding that the defendant is

guilty as charged in the indictment.

Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February, 2014.

Jason B. Libby

United States Magistrate Judge

## **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

The Clerk will file the Findings and Recommendation on Plea of Guilty and transmit a copy to each party or counsel. Within **FOURTEEN** (14) **DAYS** after being served with a copy of the Memorandum and Recommendation, a party may file with the Clerk and serve on the United States Magistrate Judge and all parties, written objections, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b), 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and General Order No. 2002-13, United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a magistrate judge's report and recommendation within **FOURTEEN (14) DAYS** after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of *plain error*, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Servs*. *Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).